From: Valerie Oster

Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA To: Subject: RE: December 13th Meeting Date: 12/06/2005 10:31 AM

Hi Eric -

We're going to discuss this tomorrow at Exec, and will give you a final answer just after that meeting...but right now I think it's safe to say that having the meeting in Seattle would be a good option.

Valerie Thompson Oster Anchor Environmental, L.L.C 6650 SW Redwood Lane, Suite 110 Portland, OR 97224 Phone: 503-670-1108 x19 Fax: 503-670-1128

This electronic message transmission contains information that is a confidential and/or privileged work product prepared in anticipation of litigation. The information is intended to be for the use of the individual or entity named above. If you are not the intended recipient, please be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the contents of this information is prohibited. If you have received this electronic transmission in error, please notify us by electronic mail at voster@anchorenv.com.

From: Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov] Sent: Mon 12/5/2005 3:36 PM To: Valerie Oster Cc: Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov Subject: December 13th Meeting

Valerie, we have a meeting scheduled for December 13th with our entire project team. We are trying to figure out a location and are considering Seattle, Portland, or somewhere in-between (e.g., Centralia). I communicated to Jim Mckenna that our first preference was Seattle. However, we are trying to juggle a number of things on our end schedule wise. Can you let me know the current status of the meeting location on your end?

Thanks, Eric